

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

,	, ,1,2,43,4					_
APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,179	10	0/20/2003	Seung Eo	n Moon	51876P400	3926
8791	7590	02/10/2005			EXAM	IINER
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN MCNEIL, JENNIFER C					ENNIFER C	
12400 WILS	HIRE BOU	JLEVARD				
SEVENTH FLOOR					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGEL	EC CA	00025 1030			1775	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Advisory Action	10/690,179	MOON ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer C McNeil	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	evidence, which place with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the ; or (3) a
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv 	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI		D WITHIN TWO
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b)
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) any reply must be filed within the	1.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	g the Notice of
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	g the issues for
(d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	-	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			. (
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	in of the status of the claims after a	entry is below or attac	ched

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

13. Other: ____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: new method claims and new limitation in claim 1 requires new search.